Aquacel Surgical Dressing Reduces the Rate of Acute PJI Following Total Joint Arthroplasty: A Case–Control Study
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Abstract

An effort to prevent PJI has led to the development of antimicrobial dressings that support wound healing. We sought to determine whether Aquacel Surgical dressing independently reduces the rate of acute PJI following TJA. A single institution retrospective chart review of 903 consecutive cases who received the Aquacel Surgical dressing and 875 consecutive cases who received standard gauze dressing was conducted to determine the incidence of acute PJI (within 3 months). The incidence of acute PJI is 0.44% in the Aquacel dressing group compared to 1.7% in the standard gauze dressing group (P = 0.005). Multivariate analysis revealed that use of Aquacel dressing was an independent risk factor for reduction of PJI (odds ratio of 0.165, 95% confidence interval: 0.051–0.533). Aquacel Surgical dressing significantly reduces the incidence of acute PJI.
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Periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) is one of the most dreaded complications that occur after total joint arthroplasty (TJA). PJI is reported to occur in 1%–4% and 0.59%–2% of patients who have undergone total knee and hip arthroplasty, respectively [1,2]. The infection causes physical, emotional, and financial strain to patients and their families as well as an immense monetary burden to hospitals and our economy. The annual nationwide cost to control infection is approximately $250 million. The cost of treating an individual PJI can be in excess of $50,000 and if the offending organism is antibiotic resistant, i.e. MRSA, that cost can surpass $100,000 [3,4]. Additionally, perioperative mortality associated with PJI can be 10 times greater than with primary TJA [5,6].

Eradiation of infection often requires additional surgery and is distressful for both the treating physician and patient. While there are numerous possible causes for PJI, a few important risk factors related to the wound itself have been identified including wound drainage and superficial wound infections [7]. The traditional approach to wound care consists of a simple dressing that could be removed after 1 or 2 days with the idea that the wound re-epithelializes during that time and can then be left uncovered. [8]. Among efforts to prevent the occurrence of PJI, commercial dressings have been developed to optimize wound healing, seal wound drainage and have antimicrobial properties [9]. In contrast to the conventional use of standard gauze bandages, these dressings feature antimicrobial linings and have shown to decrease surgical site infection rates [10].

The Aquacel Ag Hydrofiber dressing is an antimicrobial dressing that consists of a weaved cellulose center that contours to the skin to eliminate dead space, absorbs exudates, releases ionic silver to reduce microbial activity and supports wound healing [11]. Furthermore, the dressing seals the wound and prevents seepage of drainage beyond the dressing perimeter. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of using this dressing on the occurrence of acute PJI in patients undergoing TJA. We hypothesized that the Aquacel Ag Hydrofiber dressing would support healing following surgery and possibly reduce the rate of acute PJI.

Methods

Prior to initiation of the study, institutional review board approval was obtained. Using our computerized joint arthroplasty database, 950 consecutive patients who underwent primary total hip or total knee arthroplasty between October 2010 and March 2012 and received the Aquacel dressing were identified. A list of 950 consecutive patients who received standard dressings and who were admitted to the hospital before implementing systematic use of the Aquacel dressing from April 2007 to August 2010 was generated in a similar fashion. To allow for consistency in the use of the new dressing, data from the initial 6 weeks when Aquacel dressing was utilized were omitted. Exclusion criteria included hip hemiarthroplasty, unicompartamental knee arthroplasty, TJA for fracture treatment, conversion TJA, and revision TJA. Each case was reviewed to verify the exclusion criteria and collect demographic information, medical comorbidities, intraoperative parameters and development of acute PJI. The latter was defined as PJI occurring within 3 months of surgery based on the new definition criteria established by the Musculoskeletal Infection Society [12]. After eliminating patients based on the exclusion criteria, 903 patients with hip (392), knee...
(508) or hip and knee (3) arthroplasties were retained in the Aquacel group and 875 patients with hip (376) or knee (499) arthroplasty in the standard dressing group. The Aquacel dressing was applied on the surgical site in sterile conditions in the operating room and left in place for 5 days postoperatively. Standard dressing application consisted of sterile xeroform and gauze applied over the incision site in the operating room and wrapped in an ace bandage that remained in place for 2 days postoperatively.

In addition to the application of the Aquacel Surgical dressing, changes in clinical practice during the study period included the use of dual intravenous antibiotic prophylaxis with vancomycin and cefazolin (vs. cefazolin alone previously) and systematic irrigation with dilute betadine before wound closure. These changes occurred 9 and 4 months before the end of the study period respectively. A total of 37 patient-related and procedure-related risk factors were taken into account in a multivariate analysis model where the dependent variable was the development of acute PJI (Table 1). Statistical analyses were performed using R version 2.15.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**Results**

The prevalence of acute PJI was lower in the Aquacel group (0.44%) compared to the standard dressing group (1.71%). Bivariate analysis conducted with Fisher's test first showed this to be statistically significant \((P = 0.005)\). A backward stepwise logistic regression model retained 7 independent risk factors for PJI (of 37 variables), including the use of Aquacel dressing, with an independent odds ratio of 0.165 (95% confidence interval: 0.051–0.533). Other independent significant risk factors for infection were as follows: older age, higher body mass index, smoking status, thyroid disease, liver disease and history of steroid treatment (Table 2). Notably, utilization of vancomycin prophylaxis and betadine irrigation were not shown to be significant independent protective factors for acute PJI.

**Discussion**

PJI is a major healthcare concern with mental, physical and financial burden on affected patients. With projected exponential increases in its incidence and costs, and the predicted reforms of healthcare reimbursement, prevention of this complication is gaining more importance [13]. Wound healing problems and superficial surgical site infections have consistently shown to be determining risk factors for the development of PJI [14,15]. Thus, addressing these specific issues may prevent the occurrence of deep infection. The Aquacel dressing has several features that could positively affect the wound environment: it sequesters fluid to avoid tissue maceration, while at the same time releasing a gel that maintains a relatively humid environment; it is also completely impermeable, preventing bacteria from entering the wound site from the outside environment and maintaining hypoxia in the wound, which has been shown to enhance healing and cellular immunity through the up-regulation of hypoxic-inducible factors [16]. The addition of silver provides antimicrobial activity [17].

The use of the Aquacel dressing in TJA has previously been shown to create less need for dressing changes, thus decreasing burden on healthcare personnel, diminishing superficial wound problem, and avoiding delays in hospital discharge due to wound healing issues [18]. As the first study to correlate Aquacel dressing with acute PJI, our results show that this dressing is an effective measure to significantly reduce the occurrence of acute PJI after TJA, when compared to standard dressings with gauze and tape. In our series, it independently reduced the rate of acute PJI approximately sixfold.

The cost of one standard Aquacel dressing at our institution is $39.05. The cost to treat a PJI has been variably estimated to range from $50,000 to over $100,000 [13]. A standard taped surgical gauze dressing costs approximately $5.00. Therefore, the additional cost per case for an Aquacel dressing is about $34.00. Infection after TJA has been reported to have an incidence ranging from 1.0% to 2.0% [4]. In the United States, there are over 1,000,000 TKAs and THAs performed annually [19]. Assuming the lowest cost ($50,000) of PJI treatment in the United States, there are over 1,000,000 TKAs and THAs performed annually. Assuming the lowest cost ($50,000) of PJI treatment and the lower incidence (1%) of reported PJI, the annual costs to manage PJI in the United States likely exceed $500,000,000. The cost of using an Aquacel dressing routinely in the United States after TJA would add approximately $27,000,000 in cost. If the reported fourfold reduction in PJI noted in our study is accurate, the cost of PJI management in the United States could be reduced by at approximately $375,000,000 with use of an Aquacel dressing. Therefore, the

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquacel dressing use</td>
<td>0.17 (0.05–0.53)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.09 (1.03–1.14)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>1.10 (1.03–1.19)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>3.02 (1.12–8.12)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid disease</td>
<td>3.71 (1.42–9.67)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver disease</td>
<td>7.03 (1.43–34.60)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of systemic steroid treatment</td>
<td>22.22 (1.83–269.45)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASA = American Society of Anaesthesiologists physical status classification; BMI = body mass index; DVT = deep vein thrombosis; GERD = gastroesophageal reflux disease; MI = myocardial infarction; OR = operating room; PE = pulmonary embolism.
additional cost associated with routine use of the Aquacel dressing after TJA can be readily justified.

We recognize several limitations to our study, such as, principally, its retrospective design on a cohort of consecutive patients. Nonetheless, we were able to include a relatively large number of subjects and all changes in practice, as well as potential confounding factors, were taken into account in a multivariate model to ascertain the independent protective effect of the Aquacel dressing. Our main concern was the confounding effect of intravenous vancomycin prophylaxis and dilute betadine irrigation, two practices we implemented based on recent supportive evidence in the literature [20,21]. However, these two factors did not reach a significant effect on the development of PJI in our current study. This lack of significance is possibly due to the limited number of subjects involved since these two practices were introduced at our institution relatively late in the study period. Finally, our main outcome measurement consisted of PJI occurring within 3 months of surgery. We elected to use the 3-month minimum follow-up, in compliance with the recent recommendations of the Center for Disease Control and Prevention, which uses this period to determine if an infection occurring after surgery could be directly attributed to that procedure or not [22].

Despite the aforementioned limitations, this case-controlled study demonstrated that the Aquacel Ag Surgical wound dressing with ionic silver significantly reduced the incidence of acute PJI in our cohort of patients. Its systematic use suggests that it would be an effective measure to prevent the occurrence of acute PJI following TJA and thus diminish the significant healthcare costs and patient morbidity of PJI.
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